## Sources from the Past

. .

## Marx and Engels on Bourgeoisie and Proletarians

gued that in the short term capitalists would exploit the proletarians, but that over the longer run proletarians called the bourgeoisie in their Manifesto of the Communist Party) against proletarians. Marx and Engels arextended to industrial capitalism in general. In their view, contemporary society pitted capitalists (whom they Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels were the most scathing critics of early industrial society. Indeed, their critique would become aware of their misery, rise up, and destroy capitalist society.

The history of all hitherto existing society is the history of class struggles.

Freeman and slave, patrician and plebeian, lord and serf, guild-master and journeyman, in a word, oppressor and oppressed, stood in constant opposition to one another, carried on an uninterrupted, now hidden, now open fight, a fight that each time ended, either in a revolutionary reconstitution of society at large, or in the common ruin of the contending classes. . . .

The modern bourgeois society that has sprouted from the ruins of feudal society has not done away with class antagonisms. It has but established new classes, new conditions of oppression, new forms of struggle in place of the old ones.

Our epoch, the epoch of the bourgeoisie, possesses, however, this distinctive feature: it has simplified the class antagonisms. Society as a whole is more and more splitting up into two great hostile camps, into two great classes directly facing each other: Bourgeoisie and Proletariat. . . . .

The bourgeoisic has stripped of its halo every occupation hitherto honoured and looked up to with reverent awe. It has converted the physician, the lawyer, the priest, the poet, the man of science, into its paid wage-labourers.

The need for a constantly expanding market for its products chases the bourgeoisic over the whole surface of the globe. It must nestle everywhere, settle everywhere, establish connexions everywhere. . . .

The weapons with which the bourgeoisie felled fudalism to the ground are now turned against the bourgeoisie itself.

But not only has the bourgeoisic forged the weapons that bring death to itself, it has also called into existence the men who are to wield those weapons—the modem working class—the proletarians.

In proportion as the bourgeoisic, i.e., capital, is developed, in the same proportion is the proletariat, the modern working class, developed—a class of labourers, who live only so long as they find work, and who find work only so long as their labour increases capital. These labourers, who must sell themselves piecemeal, are a commodity, like every other article of commerce, and are consequently exposed to all the vicissitudes of competition, to all the fluctuations of the market. . . .

The advance of industry, whose involuntary promoter is the bourgeoisie, replaces the isolation of the labourers, due to competition, by their revolutionary combination, due to association. The development of Modern Industry, therefore, cuts from under its feet the very foundation on which the bourgeoisie produces and appropriates products. What the bourgeoisie, therefore, produces, above all, is its own grave-diggers. Its fall and the victory of the proletariar are equally inevitable.

SOURCE: Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels. Manifesto of the Communist Party. Trans. by Samuel Moore London: W. Reeves, 1888.

ing if needed) specific material from the reading Task: Read the above primary source carefully and answer the following questions by highlighting (and number-

- 1. According to Marx and Engels, all of history is based on what?
- 2 What has happened with regard to classes over time which has now culminated with the Industrial Revolution?
- w What are the two great hostile classes that have developed in society?
- 4. How has the entire world become involved in the great struggle between the classes?
- 5. What role will the working class play in this struggle?
- 6. Why are the proletariat in such a bad position in industrial society?
- What is inevitable according to marx and Engels?