A Vindication of the Rights of Woman

Mary Wollstonecraft

Rights of Woman. The book was a sharply reasoned attack against the oppression of women and an argument for educational change. In the following excerpt Wollstonecraft addresses the author of a proposed new constitution for France that, in her opinion, does not adequately deal with the publish serious essays in the Enlightenment tradition. Indeed, Enlightenment thinkers did little to change basic attitudes about the inferiority of women. One person who managed to do both was Mary Wollstonecruft (1759–1797), a British author who in 1792 published A Vindication of the While the Enlightenment was dominated by men, there were possibilities for active involvement by women. Several women played particularly important roles as patrons and intellectual contributors to the gatherings of philosophes and members of the upper-middle-class and aristocratic elite held in the salons of Paris and elsewhere. It was, however, for more difficult for a woman to

Consider: Why education is so central to her argument, the ways in which this argument reflects the methods and ideals of the Enlightenment.

out from such investigations. civil interest of mankind; but the education and situation of woman at present shuts her an orderly train of virtues spring, can only be produced by considering the moral and ple of patriotism, their mother must be a patriot; and the love of mankind, from which strengthens her reason till she comprehends her duty, and sees in what manner it is connected with her real good. If children are to be educated to understand the true principles ficacious with respect to its influence on general practice. And how can woman be expected to co-operate unless she knows why she ought to be virtuous? unless freedom the progress of knowledge and virtue; for truth must be common to all, or it will be inef that if she be not prepared by education to become the companion of man, she will stop Contending for the rights of woman, my main argument is built on this simple principle

with the grand traces of mental beauty, or the interesting simplicity of affection. the person of a woman is not, as it were, idolised, when little virtue or sense embellish it more universally prevail, and that chastity will never be respected in the male world till that the prevailing notion respecting a sexual character was subversive of morality, and I have contended, that to render the human body and mind more perfect, chastity must In this work I have produced many arguments, which to me were conclusive, to prove

Consider, sir, dispassionately these observations, for a glimpse of this truth seemed to open before you when you observed, "that to see one-half of the human race excluded by the other from all participation of government was a political phenomenon, that,

oppression of woman—prescription opinion prevails in this country, built on the very arguments which you use to justify the constitution rest? If the abstract rights of man will bear discussion and explanation, those of woman, by a parity of reasoning, will not shrink from the same test; though a different according to abstract principles, it was impossible to explain." If so, on what does your

clusive judge, if woman partake with him of the gift of reason? acting in the manner best calculated to promote their happiness? Who made man the ex inconsistent and unjust to subjugate women, even though you firmly believe that you are dorn, and to be allowed to judge for themselves respecting their own happiness, it be not -l address you as a legislator--whether, when men contend for their free